

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,595	12/17/2003	Vijay K. Arora	1410/77081 5884		
48940 FITCH EVEN	7590 11/27/2007 TABIN & FLANNERY		EXAMINER		
120 S. LASAL	LE STREET		THAKUR, VIREN A		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	11/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/736,595	ARORA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Viren Thakur	1794	-

	Viren Thakur	1794						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.14. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.17. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	nsideration and/or search (see NOw); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej 16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co :	TE below); ducing or simplifying ected claims. empliant Amendment	the issues for (PTOL-324).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ⊠ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a N	ation of Apparal will pe	t he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	in or the states of the claims after e	intry is below of attack						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The limitation "to induce pyrolysis" would raise new issuses or consideration that were not previously considered that would require further consideration and/or search.

The pages cited by applicant, page 1, lines 9-10; page 2, lines 19-25; page 3, line 23 through page 4, line 8; and page 4 line 10 all disclose using a single stage. Nowhere in the specification is there clear disclosure of performing the dried, roasted and ground coffee beans from a single step. The disclosure recites a single stage. Regardless, it is respectfully asserted that each of drying, roasting and grinding would all still be considered individual steps or stages in the coffee bean heat treatment process. Applicant still has multiple steps such as the step of introducing into the enclosure green coffee bean which are then dried, roasted and ground and further, reintroducing the particulate product into the upper enclosure. These would still be considered more than one step.

This amendment does not address the limitation of claims 11 and 24 of re-intrducing the particulate product into the upper enclosure. Therefore the process would still include another step or stage wherein the solid particulate is re-introduced into the upper enclosure for reducing the size. This would be considered a second step or stage. Therefore the amendment does not further resolve the issues.

JOUR WEINSTEIN STEVE WEINSTEIN PRIMARY EXAMINER 1794